

## ***The Canadian Judicial Council***

### **Additional Supporting Documents, Set 2**

Complainant:

Masood Masjoody

Respondent Judges:

Lauri Ann Fenlon

Peter G. Voith

Mary V. Newbury

Name of Court :

**Court of Appeal for British Columbia**

Court Location :

800 Smithe Street, Vancouver, BC, V6Z 2E1

Court case number :

CA48922;

***Masood Masjoody v. Simon Fraser University***

Date of actions that led to the complaint:

May 30, 2023 (March 14-May 30, 2023)

Date of complaint:

**June 16, 2023**

## Overview of Set 2 of additional supporting material,

CJC File: 23-0233

Delivered 26 Jul 2023

**Summary:** *The second additional supporting document enclosed herein (pgs 5-9) consists of the letter of July 19 to Attorney General Sharma of British Columbia followed by the letter of July 21 sent separately to each of the members of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia. These letters seek the intervention of the recipients pursuant to section 63(1) of the Judges Act, according to which section the Attorney General of BC can compel the CJC to review the conduct of the respondent judges, thereby evading a contemplated inappropriate handling of the complaint in the screening stage by the CJC.*

\*\*\*\*\*

Unsurprisingly, the respondent judges **Voith**, **Fenlon**, and **Newbury** still have not responded to the application of 27 Jun 2023 whereby I had requested the correction of one of their absolute lies in the face of the court's own documents.

(Additional Submissions Set 1, pgs 5-23)

On the other hand, given the serious misconduct of the judges in favour of state terrorists and their enablers within governmental and public bodies in Canada, the now 40-day silence of the CJC about complaint **23-0233** is highly troubling. This silence may indicate that political influence and "insurance policy" for the terrorists and terrorists' enablers have already been activated in the form of an extension of the cover-up scheme previously seen in and by the courts of British Columbia.

On top of these, there are reasons to believe that the CJC, or at least some elements therein, may have already been abusing the screening stage to conveniently dismiss inconvenient yet meritorious cases against certain judges' misconduct in certain sensitive matters. Of particular interest is the way **Ms. Jacqueline Corado**, in her role as *the Acting Executive Director of CJC*, blocked **CJC complaint 22-0316** against the otherwise undeniable misconduct of Judge *Shelley Fitzpatrick* of the BC Supreme Court. **Ms. Corado essentially claimed that allegations against Fitzpatrick must be baseless because those allegations are serious!** (Of course, this is subject to a re-consideration request, because Ms. Corado did not bother to reflect at all on the evidence and apparently tried to send the case under the rug without the hassle of considering the evidence.) Likewise, a year ago, Ms. Corado blocked a case, by another complainant, against the chair of the CJC by **claiming that the Chief Justice of Canada can make**

**biased public statements against potential litigants without causing any reasonable apprehension of bias in courts!** The dominance of an air of cover-up in these cases is concerning. This concern necessitates closer scrutiny of the current matter, whether or not the screening is done by Ms. Corado and whether or not she ends up putting her signature on a decision made by others (not necessarily from within the CJC).

I emphasize and acknowledge that dirty money poured by the Islamic Regime into Canada can certainly be offered to the court officers with ease; however, despite this availability, a judge of a superior court does not have to accept, directly or indirectly, matters of value from state terrorists- in fact, a judge would only get involved in such a bribery scheme if he or she lacks the tiniest level of professional and humane integrity and, as a result, chooses to act as a judicial thug.

Likewise, there is no question that the political influence of the Islamic Regime's enablers on the topmost levels of the Canadian government is immense, however, even a typically activist judge appointed by PM Trudeau is expected to not act as disgracefully before the public eyes as the respondent judges have done unless he or she has no decency and also feels highly confident of enjoying corrupt protection of his or her own or family interests moving forward.

\*\*\*\*\*

In the abovementioned circumstances, to defeat or at least weaken contemplated corrupt involvements in the CJC's handling of Complaint 23-0233 (such involvements as instructions from higher ranks within CJC or political/monetary influence from outside of the CJC), particularly in the screening stage, it is appropriate that section 63(1) of the Judges Act be activated by the Attorney General of the province of British Columbia.

In that regard, I am bringing to the CJC's attention that the Attorney General of BC, **Hon. Niki Sharma**, has been informed of the situation and she has been requested to compel an inquiry pursuant to s. 63(1) of the Judges Act. Likewise, **all members of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia** have been informed and requested to watch the situation carefully and, if necessary, be ready to play their role in mitigating the already hard-to-repair damages caused to the integrity of the justice system in British Columbia due to the thuggish conduct of the respondent judges.

In any case, whether or not the due intervention is made by the Attorney General or the MLAs, copying the aforementioned correspondence herein hopefully can remind the *CJC* that **resorting to pretended slip, negligence or ignorance in the screening stage and as a tactic to delay a genuine consideration of the complaint or to frustrate the complainant** would more than anything cause damage to the reputation of the *CJC* and put into spotlight any officer of the *CJC* who may be instructed, deceived, or seduced—by his or her supervisors, other authorities, or even agents of the Islamic Republic— to delay an inquiry into the conduct of the respondent judges. *CJC* must be aware that even in the worst-case scenario for fairness and justice, the complainant makes sure that the ***Federal Court*** or ***Federal Court of Appeal*** will review any dishonest or corrupt handling of the matter at any stage at the *CJC*.

Respectfully,



Masood Masjoody, Ph.D.

26 July 2023

The attached documents include:

- 1) **The letter\* of 19 July 2023 to Attorney General Sharma of British Columbia (pages 5-7); and**
- 2) **The body of the letter\* of 21 July 2023 to all members of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia (pages 8-9).**

\*Enclosed are excluded since the *CJC* has been already provided with the enclosed material.