Masood Masjoody

Court of Appeal for British Columbia 400-800 Smithe St Vancouver, BC, V6Z2C5

The Honourable Division of the Court of Appeal

RE: CA48922, Masjoody v. Simon Fraser University;
Unlawful Conduct of the Registry undermining the basic rights of the appellant in this appeal

I have been told that Registrar *Outerbridge* has sent my appeal to a division for "summary determination." If that is true, this letter is addressed to that division.

Summary: The *Registry* irresponsibly and without providing any proof is claiming that the Court has stayed the proceedings. The *Registry* also refuses to file my material. This sort of Mafiesque conduct, which has been underway for some time, is considered embarrassing even in the most lawless lands of our time and age- it is not only detrimental to my legal rights but mortally damaging to the integrity of the entire justice system in Canada. Those who have a duty to the integrity of the Court should refuse to play any role in this egregious scheme of judicial activism.

While I had scheduled a chambers' hearing for March 16 at 9:30, the Registry decided to cancel the hearing on the day of the hearing without any notification. It was only because I showed up at the Registry to find out what was going on that Associate Registrar *Littlejohn* reluctantly agreed to write an explanation for me to pick up later in the day. She finally wrote to me that my application was "<u>adjourned generally</u>" but refused to disclose the identity of the judge who ordered the claimed adjournment! (The fact is, until now, there has been no order from the Court adjourning my application. So, Littlejohn either did not know what she was talking about or was knowingly lying.)

The day after, on March 17, I showed up at the Registry simply to exercise my legal rights in two aspects:

- (1) resetting the so-called adjourned application by filing a requisition; and
- (2) filing a notice of application seeking that pursuant to <u>Sections 30(e) and 30(c) of the Act</u>, in this matter the powers of Registrar *Outerbridge* be exercised by a judge, and the previous directions made by *Outerbridge* in this appeal be voided.

However, this time, *Littlejohn* refused to file my material, claiming that the proceedings have stayed. Again, *Littlejohn* arrogantly refused to provide me with any court record, whatsoever, showing such an order staying the proceedings having been ever made by any person in the Court.

If *Littlejohn* is not merely acting according to an extrajudicial agenda to cover up for the wealthy and politically powerful SFU administration and the terrorists they enabled then she must be judicially illiterate and, in any case, ignorant of her basic duties as a public servant.

As noted above, I have been told by the Registry that *Outerbridge* has referred my appeal to a division of his (biased) choice to have my appeal summarily dismissed, presumably on the basis that despite the clear rulings of the *Supreme Court of Canada*, the bias of a judge and the interest of justice must be deemed irrelevant when the interests of terrorists and their enablers are at stake.

A sain mind should see the overall conduct of the Registry in this appeal as a shame.

In my respectful view, the Division should not act on this *Outerbridge's* request, particularly when I have had an application seeking to end his involvement in this matter-the Registry's unlawful refusal to discharge its duties in filing my application does not change this fact, but only strengthens my case against *Outerbridge*'s involvement.


I would like to conclude by noting that any person in and out of the Court who has a duty to the integrity of the justice system should be strongly alerted and warned against the continuation of an illicit cover-up for the terrorists. Even if the crafty request uttered from *Outerbridge*'s mouth (not necessarily made in his mind) were to find its way toward a determination by the Division, there is always an obligation on the Division to allow the appellant to be heard and make submissions regarding any decision of the division against this appeal, as **Section 21(3)** of the Act requires.

Enablers of the terrorists, however, would certainly try to defeat any required transparency in open courts at any cost, thereby limitlessly undermining the integrity of the justice system. This is generally how the situation has played out all along: The current embarrassing situation would not exist and the train of judicial misconducts would have never proceeded up to this point had *Shelley Fitzpatrick* of the court below not fallen for the terrorists' enablers in the first place (as is evident in her shockingly biased words against a justice-seeking victim of the terrorists' enablers).

Attached, please find *Littlejohn*'s letter of March 16 (on page 3) followed by my requisition (on page 4) and application (on page 5) that *Littlejohn* unlawfully refused to file on Thursday 17 Mar 2023.

Respectfully,

Masood Masjoody, Ph.D.





March 16, 2023

Masood Masjoody

Dear Sir:

RE: MASJOODY, MASOOD (A)

VS.

TROTIGNON, AMELIE

Court of Appeal File No: CA48922

At your request, I write to confirm your Notice of Application filed March 8th, 2023 for extension of time to appeal was adjourned generally.

Your above-noted appeal was referred to a division of the Court by the Registrar on March 14, 2023, pursuant to s.21(1)(a) and (b) of the Court of Appeal Act.

Your March 16th chambers date was adjourned generally at the direction of the Registrar as the appeal is now with a Division of the Court for summary determination.

Sincerely,

Maria Littlejohn Associate Registrar

COURT OF APPEAL FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA

FORM 9

REQUISITION (RULE 65(2) and (5))

	Court of Appeal File No.	CA48922		
[STAMP]		The file number can be found on the upper right corner of the Notice of Appeal.		
	Masood Masjoody v.	Amélie Trotignon		
	Name of the first appellant named on Form 1: Notice of Appeal.	Name of the first respondent named on Form 1: Notice of Appeal.		
This form may be used for ge for matters adjourned gener matters adjourned gener	eneral requests, including but not limited to ally and adjourning matters by consent. Masood Masjoody	o setting a new application hearing date		
Relief sought Describe your request and indicate whether it is by consent.	The application of the appellant filed on March 8, 2023, for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal be reset to be heard on Tuesday, March 28, 2023			

COURT OF APPEAL FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA

www.bccourts.ca/Court_of_Appeal/

COURT OF APPEAL FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA

FORM 4

NOTICE OF APPLICATION (RULES 13(a), 20(3) and (4), 54(a), 58(1), 59(1), 60(2), 61(2), 63(2))

	Court of Appeal File No.	CA48922	
[STAMP]		The file number can be found on the upper right corner of the Notice of Appeal.	
PARTIES TO THE APPEAL			
Appellant(s) List the name(s) of the appellant(s) named on Form 1: Notice of Apal.	Masood Masjoody		
Respondent(s) List the name(s) of the respondent(s) named on Form 1: Notice of Appeal.	Amélie Trotignon, Simon Fraser University		
This application is in the jurisdiction of:	☐ The Court (3 Justices)	Chambers Justice The Registrar	
To the person(s) filing the appli	cation (the applicant):		
If your application is before a ch timelines for bringing your app	ambers justice, check the <u>available chan</u> lication under the rules.	nbers dates on the court website and the	
If your application is before the form.	e court or the registrar, contact the app	ropriate scheduler before completing this	

COURT OF APPEAL FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA

www.bccourts.ca/Court_of_Appeal/

Name(s) of person(s) bringing the application	Masood Masjoody			
Name(s) of responding person(s) to be served with the application	Amélie Trotignon, Simon Fraser University			
Location where the application will be heard Enter the address of the courthouse.	800 Smithe St, Vancouver, BC	V6Z 2E1		
Date the application will be heard	28/03/2023	Chambers applications begin at 9:30 a.m.		
	DD/MM/YYYY	4		
Enter the section(s) or rule(s) that you are relying on for your application E.g., If you are applying for leave to appeal, enter "Section 31 of the Court of Appeal Act." If you are applying for a stay of proceedings, enter "Section 33 of the Court of Appeal Act."	Section 30 of the Act	5]		
	In this matter all according			
Enter the order(s) that you are seeking E.g., "stay of proceedings" or "extension of time to file an appeal book" and any request with respect to costs. If you are seeking leave to appeal, enter "leave to appeal the order of"	In this matter, all powers otherwise given to the registrar by the Rules or the Act must be exercised by a justice of the Court. The registrar's directions or decisions in this matter are retroactively voided and without effect.			

Is an application book required? See Rule 13 for leave applications and Division 2 of Part 9 of the Rules for other applications.	☐ Yes	⊠ No			
	Affidavit 1 of Masood Masjoody made on March 8, 2023				
If you are not required to file an application book, list the affidavit(s) in support of this application Enter the name of each person whose affidavit is being filed and the date each affidavit was sworn.					
The applicant anticipates that this application will be Check only one.	☑ Contested □ L	Jncontested			
Email address(es) for service of applicant(s) If you provide an email address, you consent to have documents served on you by email.	mmasjoody@gmail.c	com			
Date form completed	17/03/2023	Name of lawyer or applicant authorizing filing of this form	Masood Masjoody		

DD/MM/YYYY

COURT OF APPEAL FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA

www.bccourts.ca/Court_of_Appeal/